

# STUDY OF CURRENT STATUS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS OF SOIL DISINFECTION IN MOROCCO

## Abdelmoti AL Batnan, Sanaa Oodebji, Najoua Mouden, Mohamed Chliyeh, Amina Ouazzani Touhami and Allal Douira

Laboratory of Botany, Biotechnology and Plant Protection, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Tofail University, BP. 133, Kenitra, Morocco.

### Abstract

This quantitative study to approach the current state of soil disinfection use in understanding the mechanisms and list of the used products via a duly defined questionnaire. The various answers of approached farmers, following surveys in the region of Gharb -Loukkos and Souss-Massa respectively, main areas for strawberries and tomatoes crops, have shown that chemical disinfection is almost universal in both regions (respectively 97% and 98%) and using mainly Metam sodium and Dichloropropene 95% to 70%.

The farmers percentage intending using alternatives to chemical disinfection, in the region of Souss Massa (solarization, composts, plant extracts and micro -organisms, especially green organic matter) is 73% while it is only 7% in the region of Gharb and Loukkos.

Actually, there is no pressing interest for organic disinfection, only 73% of farmers in the region of Souss Massa think about this issue while those of Gharb-Loukkos do not even know. Thus, the adopted alternative product is Dazitol at 47% in Souss Massa area. 80% of farmers in the Souss-Massa and all those of Gharb and Loukkos are willing to pay the organic product at a price equal to the chemical one.

Key words : Disinfection, soil, Chemical, Biological, Agricultural, Tomato, Strawberry, Souss Massa, Gharb, Loukkos, Morocco.

# Introduction

Morocco, with an agricultural area of almost 8.7 million hectares, is a strong wealth agro climatic system that allows it to produce a wide range of products. In addition to its contribution to the economy by nearly 14%, its socioeconomic impact is important, grace in particular to its ripple effects upstream agricultural inputs and downstream by the agribusiness and through final household consumption. This area is dominated by cereals to 65% and only 3% is for the gardening. Thus, about 15 000 ha are occupied by tomato and 3300 ha by strawberry (Anonymous, 2013).

Tomato cultivation occupies an advanced leadership in the agricultural economy export-oriented place with over 5,000 ha under protected greenhouses in the Souss-Massa mainly. The production reached 750,000 tons, of

\*Author for correspondence : E-mail: labobotanique@gmail.com

which 350,000 tons were exported in 2011-2012 (Anonymous, 2013).

The cultivation of strawberries is well established and developed in the Gharb region and perimeter Loukkos. A study performed by the INRA Kenitra showed that exports rose 5,000 tonnes in 2005 to over 30 000 tonnes in 2008 (El Kharrazi, 2010).

Each culture has its phytosanitary constraints: Abiotic and biotic contrains exert significant pressure on the plant health and even on its survival. It is not strange that the majority, if not all fruit and vegetable producers are facing these problems, obliged to treat planting bed culture in advance: either disinfect their soil.

This disinfection has experienced several rebounds from the first uses of methyl bromide over 80 years until its banishment in the past decade and its permanent ban recently. The objective of this work is to know the situation of using these disinfectants in areas of Loukkos Gharb and Souss-Massa, studying current chemical uses, and the timid inserts of biological disinfectants then draw a conclusion about the possible trend to replace the chemical by organic in the medium and long term to satisfy the need of the consumer health and protect the environment.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Geographic data on the two study areas

The two main areas are each known by the practice of the targeted culture. The strawberry plant is grown exclusively in the Gharb region and the perimeter of Loukkos. The tomato cultivation is practiced intensively in the Souss- Massa region

Gharb and Loukkos regions are located in the northwest of the country. The agricultural area in the Gharb region is 576 445 ha. The climate is Mediterranean with oceanic influence. The rainfall varies from 430 to 480 mm and average temperatures are 13°C in winter and 27°C in summer (Anonymous, 2008).

The situation on the Atlantic coast and in the northwest of the country and the variability of its relief give the perimeter Loukkos a Mediterranean climate with a strong oceanic influence. The average annual rainfall varies from 600 mm at the coastal strip and the lower valley of Loukkos to 1800 mm in the high reliefs of Loukkos basin. The average temperature ranges between 16 and 19°C with a minimum in January and maximum in August. The average evaporation is estimated at nearly 1450 mm (Anonymous, 2015a).

Souss Massa region was located in the geographical center of Morocco between the Atlantic Ocean and the mountains of the High Atlas and Anti Atlas and covered an area of approximately 23,950 km<sup>2</sup>. The two main plains are the Souss valley (4,150 km<sup>2</sup>) and the plain of Chtouka (1,260 km<sup>2</sup>). The climate of the region is arid to semiarid; it varies from wet, cold winter on the peaks of the High Atlas Occidental pre-Saharan with fresh winter in the plains. The proximity to the ocean and the influence of cold Canary Current mitigate climate of the area and the mountainous barrier of the Anti-Atlas contributes to the protection against the southern winds. Average annual temperatures range from 14°C on the High Atlas to the north to 20 °C on the Anti-Atlas in the south. The minimum and maximum daily temperatures respectively reach -3°C and 49°C. Rainfall has high spatial and temporal variability. Averages of rainfall of the order of 280 mm on the Souss, 265 mm on the Massa, 390 mm in the Tamraght basin and 370 mm in the Tamri basin (Anonymous, 2015b).

#### Surveys

Between April and June 2013 Surveys were conducted in different locations of the Gharb-Loukkos and Souss Massa regions of covering respectively 40 farmers and 44 farmers. The farmers sampling was done randomly (Table 1).

### Quantitative Study

A survey is conducted among farmers about soil fumigation prior to planting Strawberry and Tomato. This quantitative study was based on a questionnaire (Table 2) entitled: "Situation of chemical soil disinfection of two crops tomato and strawberry and search for alternative

Area souss massa					
City	Location	Farmers			
Biogra	Takkad	3			
	Ouelad essaf	1			
	Biogra	7			
Ait Melloul	Sidi bibi	5			
	Koleaâ	2			
	Ouelad dahhou	1			
	Temsia	3			
Agadir	Sebt ait malek	2			
C	Khmiss	1			
	Taddart	6			
Aitamira	Oughras	1			
	Ait boutayder	1			
	Khmiss	4			
	Aitamira	4			
Belfaâ	Belfaâ	2			
Berhil	Berhil	1			
Total		44			
	Gharb et du Loukkos Area				
City	Location	Farmers			
Moulay Bousselham	Dlalha	5			
Bousselham	Ouelad okayel	10			
	Chouafaâ	3			
	Gnafda	1			
	Laânabssa	6			
	Karya	5			
	Vaalaaaa	1			
	Ksakssa	-			
	Zaouia	2			
	Zaouia Drader	-			
	Zaouia	2			
Larache	Zaouia Drader	2			
Larache	Zaouia Drader Ouelad mesbah	2 1 1			
Larache	Zaouia Drader Ouelad mesbah Zlaoula	2 1 1 1 1			
Larache	Zaouia Drader Ouelad mesbah Zlaoula Ouelad hammou	2 1 1 1 1 1			
Larache	Zaouia Drader Ouelad mesbah Zlaoula Ouelad hammou Laghdira	2 1 1 1 1 1 2			

Table 1: Farmers sampling in the two study areas.

Table 2: Questionnaire for Farmers of Gharb- Loukkos and Souss Massa.

Good Morning My name is ALBATNAN : I am preparing my Master degree in Micro organismes and plant protection. "Situation of chemical soil disinfection of two crops ( tomato and strawberry ) and search for alternative means in the regions of Gharb- Loukkos and Souss Massa Questionnaire for Farmers Région : Date : Questionnaire N° :

I- Généralities			
1. Site of the farm :			
Village:			
City:			
2. Which size is your farm ?			
3. What are you growing now :			
Strawberries	Tomatoes 🗆	others $\Box$	
4. What was the precedent crop ?			
II- Practices and uses of disinfectant			
5- Up to you, how do you qualify	disinfection in	your field ?	
Necessary 🗆	Optional $\Box$	No need $\Box$	
If necessary, what is the main goal	l:		
Eliminating nématodes		Eliminating fungus	
Eliminating bactérias 🗆		Eliminating weeds $\Box$	
6. Do you analyse your soil prior	to disinfection a	?	
Yes 🗆		No 🗆	
7. At what time of the year you us	ually disinfect y	your soil ?	
Autumn 🗌		Spring 🗆	
8. Do you eventually prepare the	soil before disin	fecting it?	
Yes		No 🗆	
In case yes, what is the itener	ary ?		
9. What are the used product to d	isinfect?		
Chemicals		Quantity / hectare	Time of application
Methyle bromide			
Metam sodium			
1.3 Dichloropropene			
D.D90-D.D92-DORLONE-FLASH	OR-NEMATRA	Р	
DMDS (ACCOLADE)			
10. How do you apply the disinfe			
Injection in the drip irriga			
Incorporation while plowi	-		
1 1			
III- Financial cost of the chemical dis			
11. Disinfection cost per hectare DH			
12. Total production cost per hectar	e in DH		
13. Cost per kilo ?			
for local market :		Circo 1 and a	
		Strawberries	
For export market ( ex-wor		Strawberries	
IV- Other alternatives to chemicals			
14. Are you aware of chemicals re			
Yes	No 🗆		
		to chemical for soil disinfection?	
Yes □	No 🗆	to enemical for son distinction ?	
Which one ?			
$\Box$ Solarisation		nost	
		•	
□ Vapor		nic green materials	

□ Irrigation to soil ability	□ Others (specif	ÿ)
16. Did you realize any efficience		
No 🗆	Yes 🗆	
$\Box$ on diseases	on nématode	es€ crop growing & yield
Organic disinfection, present situ		
17. Do you believe necessary org		
Yes 🗆	No 🗆	
18. In case yes, did you try it?		
Yes 🗆	No 🗆	
19. In case yes, what is the commercial	cial name of the product?	
20. Were you satisfied ?	*	
Yes 🗆	No 🗆	
if no, why ?		
21. What is your belief comparing	g chemical and organic of	disinfection?
For organic $\Box$	Agains	st organic
22. Concerning organic disinfect	tion, have you been adv	ised by :
Fruits & legumes buyers		
Technicians of C.T – CM	V - CDA	
Export groupes & packin	g stations $\Box$	
Pesticides distributors or	retailers 🗆	
Scientists (universities-A	gricultural institutes)	
23. How costy the organic disinfe		
At same price than chemi		
Yes 🗆	No 🗆	
If it is more expensive ? 2:	5 % more	
Yes 🗆	No 🗆	
50 % more	Yes	No 🗆
24. Would you accept to try orga	nic disinfection?	
Yes 🗆	No 🗆	
If no Why?		
25. Which way do you prefer to c	disinfect the soil?	
Injection  Incorpo		
26. How long do you wish your of		ic disinfection?
$30 \text{ days } \square$	60 days $\square$	90 days $\Box$
27. Did you visit organic disinfec	ted farms ?	
In Morocco	where ?	
foreign countries $\Box$	where ?	
-		
29. Any full satisfaction to the far		
Yes 🗆	No 🗆	
If not, why?		
		Thank was fan an anting to an arrest

Thank you for accepting to answer our questions.

means in the regions of Gharb- Loukkos and Souss Massa".

#### Statistical analysis

The obtained results were processed by the software Excel

# Results

The surveys in the Souss-Massa and Gharb Loukkos regions and have achieved quantitative study based on a questionnaire to farmers in both regions. Analysis of the responses was used to assess the state status of chemical soil disinfection of two vegetable crops (tomato and strawberry) and farmers' knowledge about alternative methods and their willingness to use them.

In the Souss-Massa three quarters of the visited farms, farmers said their main crop is tomatoes, followed by other crops as beans, peppers and cucumbers (Fig. 2A) while farms in the Gharb and Loukkos were almost majority of farms producing strawberries (Fig. 2B). Thus, the choice of crops "tomatoes" and "strawberries" was



Fig. 2: Crops in the visited farms in Souss-Massa (A) and Gharb-Loukkos (B) in 2013.



Fig. 3. Previous Jcrops in the visited farms in Souss-Massa (A) and in Gharb-Loukkos (B) in 2013.



Fig. 4: Chemical soil disinfection in the visited farms in Souss-Massa (A) and in Loukkos-Gharb (B).

very helpful, and that whatever the choice is random, the representativeness of the two cultures is significant.

The previous crop is the same in almost three quarters of the solid investigation objects, the practice of growing tomatoes on tomato in the Souss-Massa (Fig. 3A) or the strawberry on strawberry in the Gharb and Loukkos (Fig.



3B), which further increases the risk of soil contamination. A quarter of farmers are turning to the bean, melon, pepper, banana, eggplant and zucchini in the Souss -Massa and to potatoes, salads, peanuts and cereals in the Gharb and Loukkos.

Disinfecting the soil prior to planting is essential in almost all the surveyed farms: less than 3% of farmers in the two regions considered this nonnecessary practice (Fig. 4). Indeed, it appears from the investigation of the differences between farmers consideration of both regions on the purpose of disinfection. In the Souss-Massa region, the aim is to counteract the damaging effects of three pathogens types, namely nematodes, bacteria and fungi, while in the Gharb and Loukkos, nematodes and fungi are feared first. However, in both regions nematodes are for farmers the first enemy to treat against. Weeds are not a concern for them (Fig. 5).

An important result from this study, it is a difference in the approach to the problem of soil disinfection between the two regions, manifested in the identification of the pathogen to treat against. Thus, 39% of farmers in the Souss-Massa region are doing soil tests to determine these pathogens,

В

Type of ennemies

especially nematodes (Fig. 6A), and only 5% of the Gharb-Loukkos region performed these tests (Fig. 6B). Disinfection is practiced in the fall by 73% of the farms in the Souss-Massa region (Fig. 7A) and 98% of farms in the Gharb-Loukkos (Fig. 7B).

100% of the interviewed farmers in both regions

weeds

Fig. 5: Targeted crop enemies in Souss-Massa (A) and in Gharb-Loukkos (B).



Fig. 6: Soil analysis prior to disinfection in Souss-Massa (A) and Gharb-Loukkos (B).



Fig. 7: Period of disinfection in the year in Souss-Massa (A) and Gharb-Loukkos (B).

responded that soil preparation is required prior to disinfection. In addition, the technical route used by all farmers are plowing, spreading manure and irrigation. Thus, the most widespread disinfectant in the Gharbloukos region is Metam sodium at 95% (Fig. 8B) while dichloropropene is used by 70% of farmers in the Souss-Massa region (Fig. 8A).

The mode of treatment with disinfectants used by 100% of farmers in the Souss-Massa region and 97% in the Gharb-Loukkos is injected into the irrigation system of the plots. A low percentage has used the method of incorporation in the latter region (Fig. 9).

In the Souss Massa region, for



Fig. 8: Percentage of the used disinfectants in SoussMassa (A) and Gharb -Loukkos (B).



Fig. 9: Way of disinfectant application in Gharb-Loukkos.



Fig. 10: Knowledge of chemical threats in Gharb-Loukkos.

tomatoes production, the soil desinfection cost is between 8000 dirhams and 25 000 Dirhams per hectare with a total income of 70 000 to 500 000 Dirhams per hectare giving a unit cost of 1.00 dirham up to 5.00 Dirhams per kilo of tomatoes.

While in the Gharb – Loukkos region, for strawberries, the soil desinfection cost is between 2500 and 20 000 Dirhams, with a total income of 60 000 to 320 000 Dirhams per hectare and a unit production cost of 2,00 to 8,00 Dirhams a Kilo.

The sensitivity of the farmers in the region of Gharb and Loukkos regarding the dangers of toxic residues is not widespread, 90% of surveyed farmers are aware of the seriousness of the situation. In the Souss-Massa, 100%



of the farmers are aware of the chemical disinfection dangers and the presence of residues and their effects on health and the environment (Fig. 10).

Thus, the idea of using alternative products for healthy soil disinfection is adoptable in both areas. The use level of alternative techniques to chemical





Fig. 12: Percentage of technical alternatives to chemical soil disinfection in Souss-Massa (A) and in Gharb-Loukkos (B).



Fig. 13: Efficiency of alternatives in use on crop enemies in Souss-Massa (A) and Gharb-Loukkos (B).



Fig. 14: Efficiency of alternatives satisfied farmers in Souss-Massa.

disinfection is very interesting in the Souss-Massa, 73% of farmers have used them to avoid the use of chemicals while it remains low in Gharb region and Loukkos where only 7% of farmers said they have used them. (Fig. 11A and 11B)

Among the alternative techniques with chemical disinfectants which farmers have used, there are mainly three: solarization, composts- plant extracts and micro-organisms. The use of green organic material made appearance in the Souss-Massa (Fig. 12 A and 12B).

However, the purpose sought by the use of these alternatives and satisfaction show different farmers



Fig. 15: Farmers believing in organic soil disinfection in Souss-Massa (A) and Gharb – Loukkos (B).



Fig. 16: Farms tried using organic disinfection in Souss-Massa.



Fig. 17: Common organic products used in Souss-Massa.

opinions between the two areas on the observed diseases and nematodes, while both areas expressed satisfaction on the growth and yield (Fig. 13A and 13B).

All farmers in the region of Gharb and Loukkos who have previously said they used alternative techniques to chemical soil disinfection found an efficiency of the used method. Satisfaction level of 75% was observed among farmers in the use of alternative techniques in the Souss-Massa (Fig. 14).

It seems that the desire to find an alternative chemical is present in the minds of farmers in both regions (Fig. 15A and 15B).

But as for wanting to achieve this, 73% of farmers in the region of Souss-Massa already did, while those in the region of Gharb and Loukkos have not even thought about it yet (Fig. 16).

Thus, the used products as alternative: Dazitol adopted at 47%, followed by BIOACT product and Nemagold 17% and 12% respectively (Fig. 17).

visited by farmers in Morocco are: Ait Baha; Chtouka and Belfaa while Spain is the main foreign visited country. Commercial products used to disinfect the soil are: Dazitol - RMG - Nemassim – biofumigant and Green solution.

Among the farmers who visited farms in Morocco and abroad, only 9



Fig. 18: Level of satisfaction in Souss-Massa.



Fig. 19: Intention to use organic alternatives in Souss-Massa.

In addition, among farmers who used alternatives, the degree of satisfaction compared to chemicals is 43%. The reasons of the dissatisfaction of other farmers are "limited biological disinfection results" (Fig. 18).

Also, 86% of farmers in the Souss-Massa area were for biological disinfection, while only four of those surveyed farmers of the Gharb-Loukkos region are aware of the importance of this technique, others were unconcerned (Fig. 19).

Farmers are aware of the toxical waste dangers by the different actors of the supply chain of tomato and strawberry. Thus, traders, overseas buyers, production and packing stations are top of the list to aware farmers



Fig. 20: Advertising people visiting farms in Souss-Massa (A) and Loukos-Gharb (B).



Fig. 21: Paying an organic alternative at price equal to the chemical disinfection in Souss-Massa.



Fig. 22: Paying an organic alternative at 25 % more expensive in Souss-Massa (A) and Gharb- Loukkos (B).



Fig. 23: Paying an organic alternative at 50 % more expensive in Souss-Massa (A) and Gharb-Loukkos (B).

in both regions while the external services of the Ministry of Agriculture and scientists are recessed (Fig. 20A and 20B).

Regarding soil disinfection cost, farmers can choose the use of organic products instead of chemical disinfectants as following :

\* 80% of farmers in Souss-Massa and all those of Gharb and Loukkos are for a price equal to the chemical (Fig. 21).

\* At a price 25% higher, 67% of farmers in the region of Souss-Massa are for disinfection despite the price difference, while only 7% would accept this difference in the Gharb region and Loukkos. (Fig. 22A and 22B)

\* At a price 50% more expensive, only 32% of farmers would accept it in the Souss-Massa against 13% in the region of Gharb and Loukkos (Fig. 23A and 23B).

Most of the farmers have shown a general predisposition to conduct trials with organic products, a low percentage would be against these tests (Fig. 24A and 24B).

The preferred method of providing the disinfectant is injected into the irrigation system substantially in the two regions (Fig. 25A and 25B).

90 days persistence of the product in the soil is required by 64% of farmers in the Souss Massa and 76% in the Gharb-Loukkos, while the 60 days is required by 24% of respondents in Souss-Massa and only 5% in the Gharb-Loukkos, the 30 days can be accepted by 12% of farmers in the Souss-Massa and 19 % for Loukos-Gharb (Fig. 26A and 26B).

Only 15% of farmers surveyed in the Souss Massa region said they have already visited organic disinfected farms (Fig. 27). Thus, the regions



Fig. 24: Agreement to do trials with alternatives in Souss-Massa (A) and Gharb-Loukkos (B).



Fig. 25: Preferred way to provide the disinfectant in Souss-Massa (A) and Gharb-Loukkos (B).



Fig. 26: Expected protecting time by organic disinfectant in Souss-Massa (A) and Gharb-Loukkos (B).



Fig. 27: Visiting farms organic disinfected in Souss-Massa.

% of farmers are met by biological soil disinfection in visited farms.

## Discussion

Strawberry plants are susceptible to nematodes such as root-knot, foliar, stem, and lesion nematodes (Bleve-Zecheo *et al.*, 1980; Tacconi and Lamberti, 1987) and soilborne pathogens such as *Phytophthora cactorum*, *P. fragariae*, *Verticillium dahliae* and *Colletotrichum*  acutatum. The use of biocidal chemical compounds such as MB has long been regarded as a necessary pre-planting practice for strawberries and other small fruits, vegetables, orchards and nursery stock. Soil fumigation is a central tool in the strawberry production system because soil disinfestations maximize yield and fruit quality (Duniway, 2002a, b). Currently, only three MB alternative fumigants are registered and available for strawberry fruiting fields, and intensive research is being conducted to optimize application technologies to improve the performance and to reduce the cost. The registered chemical alternatives are chloropicrin (Pic), 1, 3dichloropropene (1, 3-D), and methyl isothiocyanate generators such as metam sodium and Dazomet (Lamberti et al., 2003). Although the alternative fumigants can be applied into soil by shank injection, new technologies were developed recently to apply fumigants through the drip irrigation systems (Ajwa and Trout, 2000; Ajwa et al., 2001; Ajwa et al., 2002a, b).

The nematoda pests in strawberry are the root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne). Their damage is very important. For this reason, a nematicide treatment is necessary. Fumigation with nematicides was used by 17 producers in 20, or 85%. The other three producers (15%) felt no need to treat their ground because their land receives strawberries for the first time (Anonymous, 2014).

Methyl bromide has been used as a pre-plant soil fumigant for over 40 years. It has activity in soil against a wide spectrum of plant pathogens and pests, including fungi, nematodes, insects, mites, rodents, weeds, and some bacteria. In addition, methyl bromide is sufficiently volatile to penetrate soils for some distance from the points of application (Duniway, 2002).

Fumigating soil with methyl bromide and chloropicrin has been an integral part of strawberry cultivation in California since about 1960 (Wilhelm and Paulus, 1980). Fumigation has minimized losses to root diseases caused by *Verticillium dahliae* and *Phytophthora* spp. and has controlled weeds sufficiently to allow the use of clear polyethylene mulch to increase crop earliness and reduce fruit rots (Hartz *et al.*, 1993).

The obtained results showed that disinfection is required in both areas with the main goal to eliminate nematodes and fungi in the first place, Bacterial are in a smaller place, only the region of Souss-Massa resorted to an analysis before disinfection. Both regions converge on the disinfection time: autumn. Methyl bromide has been replaced by the Metam sodium in the Gharb region and Loukkos while it is replaced by the dichloropropene in the Souss- Massa region with injection into the irrigation system for both areas.

In Morocco, Metam sodium is the most widely used nematicide (94%). Use rates ranged from 750 to 1000 L / ha at a price of 10 Dh / kg, or 7,500 to 10,000 DH / ha, while 6% of farmers used dichloropropene + chloropicrin at 40 L / hr, with a price of 75 Dh / liter, 18000 Dh / ha. The treatment is done by injection into the irrigation system 3 weeks before transplanting strawberry (Anonymous, 2014).

The tendency to opt for an organic disinfectant is still present, only the price which may be more expensive or having lower efficacy compared to chemical leaves hesitant farmer. The term of protection is required between 60 and 90 days in most cases, across all regions.

It seems that for organic alternatives disinfection techniques, Souss-Massa far outstrips Gharb and Loukkos, whatever these two regions do not seem to be really involved to find these alternatives, while accentuating the stress on eliminating nematodes.

Metam sodium and 1,3-D were tested in combination with soil solarization for their impact on root-rot nematodes, weeds and yield performance in strawberry production systems in Morocco. These combined treatments suppressed nematodes, and weed densities and resulted in fruit yield similar to MB (Ammati *et al.*, 2002). A drawback of these fumigants was that they undergo accelerated biodegradation after only one application. Soil solarization was proposed to support the pesticidal effects of these fumigants in the long-term by eliminating the specific soil microflora generated by repeated application of these pesticides.

Soil solarization is a disinfection technique that employs solar radiation during summer months to increase the soil temperature under a polyethylene-tarped field in which the soil-water content is brought to field capacity (Medina-Mínguez, 2002). This methodology has been used as a pathogen control strategy at least since 1976 (Katan *et al.*, 1976) and has worldwide applications (Chellemi, 2002). Successful solarization requires 30–45 days and soil temperatures exceeding 50°C. Soil heating was reported to be lethal or sub-lethal for many pathogenic fungi, bacteria, and weeds (Katan, 1981; Katan, 2000), and very effective against the stem nematode (Greco *et al.*, 1985), but was less effective on nematodes that are able to move in the soil over longer distances.

The awarened farmers on the topic of toxic residues that can leave chemicals is poor. Only commercial circuits initiate with them about it. Sellers have an important role to play in the supervision of farmers in terms of pesticide use. The illiteracy rate is high in rural areas (Thiam *et al.*, 2008). Oudebji *et al.*, (2012) noted in a survey which was conducted in the Gharb region and Loukkos that respectively only 47% and 50% of farmers could read the instructions on pesticide etiquettes packaging.

Biofumigation is based on the release of volatile compounds that suppress pathogens during biodegradation of organic amendments or crop residue (Bello *et al.*, 1999). Materials that hold potential as biofumigants are livestock manure, refuse from waste paper bins, fishing factory waste, agricultural and food industrial waste and plant residuals with allelopathic compounds (Hoitink, 1988). The biocidal effect of these materials is caused by the release of nitrogen compounds like ammonium and nitrate, organic acids, and various volatile substances (Mian *et al.*, 1982). However, high rates of organic matter amendments may produce phytotoxicity or increase the risk for ground water contamination (Cebolla, 2002).

The application of alternative fumigants through subsurface drip irrigation systems is the most practical and safest strategy for greenhouse conditions. Pesticide application by shank injection is limited due to the narrow working spaces in Italian greenhouses. However, the drip irrigation technology is becoming popular for greenhouse crops because it can easily be adapted to any greenhouse size. Moreover, drip fumigation has been demonstrated to reduce emissions when compared to shank injection (Gan *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, this technique does not require the presence of workers in the greenhouse, and thus reduces worker exposure.

#### Conclusions

The quantitative survey was conducted among farmers on the state of soil fumigation to install respectively strawberry cultivation in the region of Gharb and Loukkos and culture of tomato in Souss Massa and opportunities using alternative techniques and biofumigation. The responses were used to draw the following conclusion: cultural practices: the study shows intensive monoculture whether for tomato or strawberry, the fact that the majority of farmers do not practice rotation.

The cost of disinfection / production cost in their maximum and minimum ratio is:

+ 11.42% to 5% tracing a cost variance from 5% to 11.5% for the region of Souss-Massa

 $+\,4.17\%$  to 6.66% and the minimum to the maximum, giving a variation of 4.17% to 6.66% for the region Gharb-Loukkos.

The change in cost per kilogram of the product showed a large margin, which could be explained by the final product quality (1.00 to 5.00 DH for tomato and 2.00 to 8.00 for DH strawberry). Cost to export is unknown to farmers.

The tendency to opt for an organic disinfectant is still present, only the price which may be more expensive or lower efficacy compared to chemical leaves hesitant farmer. The term of protection is required between 60 and 90 days in most cases, across all regions.

# References

- Agrios, G.N. (1988). Microfungi and invertebrate herbivores on birch trees: Fungal mediated plant-herbivore interactions or responses to host quality. (ed.) Ahlholm J., M. Helander, P. Elamo, I. Saloniemi, S. Neuvonen, S. Hanhimäki and K. Saikkonen. Plant Pathology. Third Edition,, Academic Press, London, UK 803pp.
- Ait Elkaid, M. (2003). Recherches de quelques méthodes biologiques et chimiques alternatives au bromure de méthyle contre les nématodes à galles associés à la culture de tomate. Mémoire de fin d'étude pour l'obtention du diplôme d'ingénieur d'état en Agronomie, spécialité protection des cultures, Complexe Horticole d'Agadir INAV Hassan II. 65p.
- Ajwa, H.A. and T. Trout (2000). Distribution of drip applied fumigants under various conditions. In: Proceedings Annual International Research Conference on Methyl Bromide Alternatives and Emissions Reductions. November 6–8, 2002, Orlando, FL, USA. 1–3 (abstract), http://www.mbao.org/
- Ajwa, H.A., M. Schutter, S.D. Nelson, T. Trout and C.Q. Winterbottom (2001). Efficacious application rates of propargyl bromide and iodomethane /chloropicrin for strawberry production. In: Proceedings Annual International Research Conference on Methyl Bromide Alternatives and Emissions Reductions, November 5–9, 2001, San Diego, CA, USA, 1–3 (abstract), http:// www.mbao.org/
- Ajwa, H.A., T. Trout, J. Mueller, S. Wilhelm, S.D. Nelson, R. Soppe and D. Shatley (2002a). Application of alternative fumigants through drip irrigation systems. *Phytopathology*, **92**: 1349–1355.
- Ajwa, H.A., T. Trout, S. Fennimore, C.Q. Witterbottom, F. Martin,

J. Duniway, G. Browne, B. Westerdahl, R. Goodhue and L. Guerrero (2002b). Strawberry production with alternative fumigants applied through drip irrigation systems. In: Proceedings Annual International Research Conference on Methyl Bromide Alternatives and Emissions Reductions, November 6–8, 2002, Orlando, FL, USA, 14–1 (abstract), http://www.mbao.org/

- Al Falah, M. (2005). Utilisation de quelques amendements organiques et produits biologiques contre les nématodes à galles associés aux cultures du bananier et de tomate. Mémoire de fin d'étude pour l'obtention du diplôme d'ingénieur d'état en Agronomie, spécialité protection des plantes, Complexe Horticole d'Agadir, INAV Hassan.88pp.
- Ammati, M., N. El Hairi, A. Mbarek, A. Grinstein, W. Runia and L.M. Gullino (2002). Alternatives to methyl bromide for soil disinfestation of strawberry in Morocco. In: Proceedings Annual International Research Conference on Methyl Bromide Alternatives and Emissions Reductions, 5–8 March, 2002, Sevilla, Spain, 67–71 (abstract).
- Ammati, M., A. Ouaouich and A. El Harmouchi (2000). Alternatives au bromure de méthyle dans la désinfection du sol en culture de tomate sous serre. *Transfert de Technologie en Agriculture*, **71:** 1-4.
- Anonymous (2010). Dossier du mois: Le marché mondial de la fraise. Actualité Export, Revue Trimestrielle-Campagne 2010-2011 de l'Etablissement Autonome de Contrôle et de Coordination Des Exportations, **30:** 17-20.
- Anonymous (2008). Monographie régionale Gharb-Chrarda-Beni Hssen, Direction régionale, Haut Commisariat au Plan. 81p URL : www.hcp.ma/file/105657/ (Consulté le 14/10/ 2015).
- Anonymous (2014). Techniques de production du fraisier, Résultats d'enquête au loukkos. Bulletin mensuel d'information et de liasion. N° 201. 9pp.
- Anonymous (2015a). Les bassins hydrauliques du Maroc : le Loukkos, Ministère délégué auprès du Ministre de l'Energie, des Mines, de l'Eau et de l'Environnementchargé de l'Eau, 65p. URL : http://www.water.gov.ma/ userfiles/file/2\_Loukkos-d%C3%A9f.pdf (Consulté le 13/ 10/2015).
- Anonymous (2015b). Les bassins hydrauliques du Maroc : le Souss-massa, Ministère délégué auprès du Ministre de l'Energie, des Mines, de l'Eau et de l'Environnementchargé de l'Eau, 65p. URL : http://www.water.gov.ma/ userfiles/file/18\_Souss-massa-d%C3%A9f.pdf (Consulté le 15/10/2015).
- Atta Aly, M. and M.A. Ezzat (1999). Reducing quality loss of strawberries during local marketing and exportation by using different cool chains In Gerasopoulos D. (ed.). Postharvest losses of perishable horticultural products in the Mediterranean region. Chania: CIHEAM-IAMC, Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes, **42:** 35-47.
- Bamouh, A., A. Ezzahouani and A. Chamekh (2012). Conduite

### 4812

culturale du fraisier au maroc. agriculture du maghreb, **56**: 52-61.

- Bello, A., J.A. López-Pérez, L. Díaz, R. Sanz and M. Arias (1999). Bio-fumigation and local resources as methyl bromide alternatives. In: Abstracts, 3rd International Workshop Alternatives to Methyl Bromide for the Southern European Countries, 7–10 December, 1999, Heraklio, Crete, Greece, 17 (abstract).
- Benicha, M. (2006). Mode d'utilisation des pesticides sur la culture du fraisier dans le périmètre du Loukkos. Bulletin trimestriel d'information du Centre Régional de la Recherche Agronomique de Tanger, Tawassol, 12: 1-4.
- Besnard, O. and P. Davet (1993). Mise en évidence de souches de *Trichoderma* spp à la fois antagonistes de *Pythium ultimum* et stimulatrices de la croissance des plantes. *Agronomie*, **13**: 413-421.
- Bleve-Zacheo, T., G. Zacheo, M.T. Melillo, F. Lamberti and O. Arrigoni (1980). Cytological changes induced by the stem nematode *Ditylenchus dipsaci* in strawberry leaves. *Nematologia Mediterranea*, 8: 153–163.
- Cebolla, V. (2002). Alternatives to methyl bromide in vegetable and strawberry crops in Spain. In: Proceedings International Conference on Alternatives to Methyl Bromide 5–8 March, 2002, Sevilla, Spain, 61–65 (abstract).
- Chellemi, D. (2002). Nonchemical management of soilborne pests in fresh market vegetable production systems. *Phytopathology*, **92:**1367–1372.
- Chtaina, N. and A. El Fadl (2010). Programme Régional de lutte intégrée contre les organismes nuisibles. (Integrated Pest Management) au Proche Orient. (Project TFS/REM/070/ ITA). Etude de base surla culture de la tomate au Maroc. Juillet 2010, 4-5.
- Chtaina, N., M. Doudouche, A. Dadi and J. Zdeg (2009). Gestion des problèmes phytosanitaires du fraisier au Maroc selon le référentiel de qualité Global Gap et difficultés d'application. Proceedings Colloque International sur la gestion des risques phytosanitaires, Marrakech, Maroc, 9-11 Novembre, I: 65-69.
- Duniway, J.M. (2002a). Chemical alternatives to methyl bromide for soil treatment particularly in strawberry production.
   In: Proceedings International Conference on Alternatives to Methyl Bromide Sevilla, Spain, 47–50 (abstract).
- Duniway, J.M. (2002b). Status of chemical alternatives to methyl bromide for pre-plant fumigation of soil. *Phytopathology*, 92: 1337–1343.
- Gommers, F.J. and J. Bakker (1988). Physiological changes induced by plant responses or products. Pp. 46-49 in Jr. G.O. Poinar and H.B. Jansson, ed. Diseases of nematodes, Volume 1. Boca Raton, FL, USA, CRC Press Inc.
- Greco, N., A. Brandonisio and F. Elia (1985). Control of Ditylenchus dipsaci, Heterodera carotae and Meloidogyne javanica by solarization. Nematologia Mediterranea, 13: 191–197.

- Grogan, R.G. and J.B. Kendrick (1953). Seed transmission, mode of overwintering and spread of bacterial canker of tomato caused by *Corynebacterium michiganense*. *Phytopathology Abstracts*, **43**: 473.
- Harman, G.E., M. Lorito and J.M. Lynch (1992). Uses of *Trichoderma* spp. to Alleviate or Remediate Soil and Water Pollution. *Advances in Applied Microbiology*, 56: 313– 330.
- Jarvis, W.R. (1993). Managing diseases in greenhouse crops. 2e éd. APS Press, Minnesota. 288 pp.
- Katan, J. (1981). Solar heating (solarization) of soil for control of soilborne pests. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 19: 211–236. Katan J. (2000). Soil and substrate disinfestations as influenced by new technologies and constrains. *Acta Horticulture*, 532: 29–35.
- Katan, J., A. Greenberger, H. Laon and A. Grinstein (1976). Solar heating by polyethylene mulching for the control of diseases caused by soilborne pathogens. *Phytopathology*, 66: 683–688.
- Lamberti, F., A. Minuto and L. Filippini (2003). I fumiganti per la disinfestazione. *Informatore Fitopatologico*, **53(10)**: 38– 43.
- Lumsden, R.D. and J.A. Lewis (1989). Selection, production, formulation and commercial use for biocontrol fungi: problem and progress in J.M. Whipps and R.D. Lumsden, Biotechnology of fungi for improving plant growth, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 171-190.
- Medina, J.J., L. Miranda, F. Romero, B. De Los Santos and J.M. López-Aranda (2003). Six-year work on alternatives to Methyl Bromide (MB) for strawberry production in Huelva (Spain). In: Proceedings COST 836 Final Workshop. Euro Berry Symposium, October 9-11, 2003, Ancona, Italy, 40-41 (abstract).
- Mian, I.H., G. Godoy, R. Rodríguez-Kábana and G. Morgah-Jones (1982). Chitin amendments for control of *Meloidogyne arenaria* in infested soils. *Nematropica*, 12: 71–84.
- Mouden, N., R. Benkirane, A. Ouazzani Touhami and A. Douira (2013). Mycoflore de quelques variétés du fraisier (*Fragaria ananassa* L.), cultivées dans la région du Gharb et le Loukkos (Maroc). *Journal of Applied Biosciences*, **61:** 4490–4514.
- Nakhli, F. (2013). Agriculture: Agadir élimine le bromure de méthyle. L'economiste, Édition N° 4124 du 2013/10/01
- Oudebji, S., A. Ouazzani Touhami, J. Dahmani, R. Benkirane, A. Badoc and A. Douira (2012). Utilisation des pesticides par les fournisseurs et agriculteurs du Gharb et du Loukkos. *Bull. Soc. Pharm. Bordeaux*, **151(1-4):** 105-122.
- Rat, B., J. Poissonnier, M.J. Goisque and A. Burgaud (1991). Le point sur le chancre bactérien. *Fruits et Légumes*, 86: 38-40.
- Ritter, M. (1985). Connaissances nouvelles sur la biologie des nématodes ; conséquences pratiques. *Comptes rendus de*

l'Académie d'agriculture de France, 71 (7): 691–704.

- Sippell, D.W., J.G.N. Davidson and R.S. Sadasivaiah (1985). Rhizoctonia root rot of raspberry on the peace region of Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Plant pathology*, 7: 184-186.
- Strider, D.L. (1969). Bacterial canker of tomato caused by *Corynebacterium michiganense*. A literature review and bibliography. *North Carolina Agric. Exp. Sta. Tech. Bull.*, 193.
- Tacconi, R. and F. Lamberti (1987). I principali nematodi della fragola. *Informatore Fitopatologico*, **37(6):** 31–38.
- Thiam, A., M.L. Souley, K. Guangué and M. Thiam (2007). Les pesticides sont des poisons !!! - Guide des Communautés pour la Protection de la Santé et de l'Environnement. Publication de Pesticides Action Network (PAN) Africa.

*Série Education Environnementale*, (2): 55 p. http:// www.srimadagascar.org/te lech/Pesticides%20poisons.pdf

- Vaerenbergh, J.P.C. (van) and J.F.Chauveau (1987). The method proposed for detecting *C. michiganense (Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp. *Michiganensis). Bulletin OEPP*, **17(1):** 131-138.
- Walali Loudyi, D.E.M. and A. Skiredj (2003). Fiches techniques : Le cognassier, le néflier, le fraisier, le pêcher et le figuier de barbarie. Transfert de Technologie en Agriculture Mader/Derd\_Pntta (Ed.), Ministère de l'Agriculture et du Développement Rural, Bulletin Mensuel d'information et de liaison du PNTTA. n°110 (Arboriculture) : 4 pp.
- Wilhelm, S. and A.O. Paulus (1980). How soil fumigation benefits the California strawberry industry. *Plant Dis.*, 64: 264-270.